

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/914,437 | 08/27/2001 | Yu Shing So | P/3987-4 | 3998 | |
| 2352 | 7590 02/12/2003 | | | | |
| • | K FABER GERB & S | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1180 AVENU NEW YORK, | E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100368403 | | CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C | | |

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3634

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|---|----------|--|--|--|
| | | | SO, YU SHING | \wedge | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/914,43 | / | Art Unit | | | | |
| , omeo Notion Gammary | Examiner | :- Ob | | 4) | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication and | Alvin C. Ch | 1 | 3634 orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi | is action is | non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-22,31-39 and 42-47</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-22,31-39 and 42-47</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/914,437

. Art Unit: 3634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-18,20-22, and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by GB pat. '096 to Wang.

Claims 13,16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Moore.

Claims 31-39,46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Michael.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michael. To form the substrate layer of Michael by the conventional process of pultrusion, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Claims 18 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang. To provide three layer of his fiber 52, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient by the carrying forward of his teaching, depending on the structural integrity desired.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,437

· Art Unit: 3634

Claims 18,19,42,44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Moore or Whittier. Wang show the claimed member with the exception of the claimed medium. Moore and Whittier both show a sand applied mediums. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the member of Wang with a sand applied medium as claimed, to enable a non-skid surface. Furthermore, to repeat his layered sequence, as desired, to enhance the structural integrity of his member, would have been an obvious mechanical expedient.

Claims 19 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore in view of Wang. Moore shows the claimed member with the exception of his pipe 10 being of a wound reinforcement. Wang shows a pipe substrate formed from wound reinforcement. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the member 10 of Moore to be constructed of a wound reinforcement to facilitate manufacturing.

Claims 18,42, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang and either Moore or Whittier as applied above, and further in view of either Bailey or Pierpont. Bailey in fig 13 and Pierpont teach the repeating of alternate different layers to enhance the structural integrity of their

Application/Control Number: 09/914,437

' Art Unit: 3634

members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wang to repeat his different layers as needed depending on the structural integrity needed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634